IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

BRIAN OBLAD,

Plaintiff,

v.

LEON BUTLER, ROY BICKEL, and DR. BLAVIS,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Case No. 2:21-cv-00488-TC-CMR

District Judge Tena Campbell Magistrate Judge Cecilia M. Romero

Plaintiff Brian Oblad filed a pro se complaint against Defendants Leon Butler, Roy Bickel, and "Dr. Blavis." (ECF No. 6.) Because Mr. Oblad was proceeding in forma pauperis, Magistrate Judge Romero screened the case under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and DUCivR 3-2(b). She has submitted a Report and Recommendation (R&R), recommending that the court dismiss the complaint without prejudice as frivolous and for failing to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Judge Romero also recommends that because Mr. Oblad has filed many frivolous lawsuits, he has abused the court system and should be required to obtain prior approval from the court for any future lawsuits he wishes to file here. Mr. Oblad has not filed an objection to the R&R, and the time to do so has expired. After reviewing the complaint and the R&R, the court agrees with Judge Romero and orders as follows:

- 1. **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 11) is ADOPTED.
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Oblad's complaint is DISMISSED.
- 3. **IT IS FINALLY ORDERED** that Mr. Oblad is to be placed on the court's Restricted Filer List. Prior to filing any new action in this District, Mr. Oblad shall:
 - a. file a petition with the Clerk of Court requesting leave to file a pro se action;

- b. include in the petition a list of all of his current or previously filed lawsuits;
- c. include in the petition a notarized affidavit from Mr. Oblad reciting the issues he seeks to present, providing a short discussion of the legal basis for the claims, and including an assertion that, to the best of Mr. Oblad's knowledge, the legal arguments raised are not frivolous or brought in bad faith;
- d. request that the Clerk of Court send the referenced materials to a magistrate judge for review to determine whether the complaint is without merit, duplicative, or frivolous;

Upon receiving Mr. Oblad's proposed complaint, petition, and affidavit, a magistrate judge will determine whether the complaint is without merit, duplicative, or frivolous, and forward the complaint to the Chief District Judge for further review. Mr. Oblad may be authorized to file a new complaint only with the review and consent of the Chief District Judge.

DATED this 30th day of November, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

TENA CAMPBELL

United States District Judge